HRC

Countering Hate Speech [while] Protecting Freedom of Expression

Forum: Human Rights Council

**German International School
of The Hague
Model United Nations**

Lukas Hastedt

Issue: Countering Hate Speech [while] Protecting Freedom of Expression

Student Officer: Lukas Hastedt

Position: Deputy Chair

Introduction

The distinction of hate speech and freedom of expression is one of the most challenging issues in today's world. As digital platforms make communication more accessible, hate speech has become a growing concern, threatening social harmony, human dignity, and democratic values. At the same time, freedom of expression remains a fundamental right, protected under international law. Finding a balance between limiting harmful speech and protecting free expression is crucial but highly complex.

This topic, "Countering Hate Speech [while] Protecting Freedom of Expression", raises important questions about where to draw the line between harmful speech and legitimate free expression. While restricting hate speech can help prevent harm and protect marginalized communities, overly strict regulations risk limiting free expression and suppressing dissent. A key difficulty is that different countries define and regulate hate speech in different ways, depending on their laws, legal traditions and historical experiences.

The rise of digital platforms has further complicated the issue. Social media allows hate speech to spread rapidly across borders, making regulation more difficult. Governments, tech companies, and civil society all play roles in addressing hate speech, but opinions vary on how much control these actors should have over public discourse. Striking the right balance is essential to ensure that efforts to counter hate speech do not lead to excessive censorship or political suppression.

This discussion requires careful consideration of legal, ethical, and practical factors. It is important to develop approaches that effectively address hate speech while preserving the right to free expression.

Definition of Key Terms

Hate speech: abusive or threatening speech or writing that expresses prejudice on the basis of ethnicity, religion, sexual orientation, or similar grounds.

Freedom of expression: the power or right to express one's opinions without censorship, restraint, or legal penalty.

Censorship: the suppression or regulation of speech, media, or information by governments, organizations, or private entities to limit access to certain content.

Hate crime: a criminal act motivated by bias or prejudice against a person or group based on their identity, often linked to hate speech.

Content moderation: the regulation of online speech by platforms through policies, algorithms, or human oversight to remove harmful or illegal content.

International Human Rights Law: a body of legal frameworks that define and protect fundamental freedoms, including freedom of expression.

Digital Platforms: online spaces such as social media networks and forums where users can share, discuss, and access information, often serving as a medium for both free expression and hate speech.

Racism: the belief that different races possess distinct characteristics, abilities, or qualities, especially so as to distinguish them as inferior or superior to one another.

General Overview

Balancing the fight against hate speech with the protection of free speech is a major challenge in today's world. Hate speech can lead to violence, discrimination, and social instability, while freedom of expression is a basic human right protected by international law. The rise of the internet and social media has made this issue even more complicated. Online platforms allow hate speech to spread quickly, but they are also important spaces for free expression and debate.

Hate speech is increasingly linked to political movements, social conflicts, and misinformation. In the U.S., reported hate crimes almost doubled between 2014 and 2021, reaching record highs. Hate speech targeting racial, religious, and LGBTQ+ communities has played a big role in this increase.

Protests about hate speech and free speech have also grown worldwide. In the last years,, the world saw a huge wave of protests over the Ukraine-Russia and Israel-Palestine conflict. These protests often led to debates about free speech, as people argued over what counts as hate speech and what should be allowed.

One of the biggest problems in fighting hate speech is that there is no global agreement on the definition. What one country considers hate speech, another might see as free speech. Governments can also misuse hate speech laws to silence political opponents.

Social media platforms add another layer of difficulty. Companies like Facebook, X (formerly Twitter), and YouTube have rules to remove harmful content, but they are often accused of being inconsistent or biased. Some governments, like those in the European Union, have introduced stricter laws to hold these platforms accountable for hate speech.

However, free speech advocates warn that overly broad rules can suppress legitimate criticism, especially in countries where governments use hate speech laws to silence dissent.

The debate over hate speech and free speech is complex and ongoing. While most agree that hate speech must be addressed to prevent harm, it is equally important to protect freedom of expression. Policymakers, tech companies, and civil society must work together to find a balance that protects both social harmony and democratic rights.

Major Parties Involved

United States: The U.S. strongly prioritizes free speech protections under the First Amendment, even for controversial or offensive speech. Hate speech is generally not illegal unless it incites violence or constitutes a "true threat." However, platforms based in the United States like Facebook and Instagram impose their own restrictions on harmful content. The United States often opposes international efforts that could limit speech excessively.

Germany: Germany has some of the world's strictest hate speech laws due to its historical context. The "NetzDG" law requires social media platforms to remove illegal hate speech within 24 hours or face heavy fines. Holocaust denial and incitement to hatred are criminal offenses.

China: China has strict censorship laws and a state-controlled internet. The government heavily regulates speech online, taking down what it considered politically sensitive content, criticism of the Communist Party, and discussions of human rights. Hate speech is often seen as a national security issue rather than a human rights matter.

India: Even though laws against hate speech exist, enforcement is inconsistent. Free speech is protected but can be restricted for public order or morality. Indias importance and influence is also due to the fact that it is the most populous country in the world.

Social Media Companies: Companies like "Meta" (including Facebook, Instagram), X (formerly Twitter), and YouTube play a major role in moderating hate speech. These companies have implemented content moderation policies to remove harmful speech, including hate speech and incitement to violence, but their approach has been controversial. On one hand, they face pressure from governments and users to ensure a safer environment online and on the other hand, they are criticized for either over-censoring content or not being strict enough. Most Social Media Companies goal is maximizing profit, which also plays a role in their decisions.

European Union: The EU takes a stricter approach to regulating hate speech, especially online.

Timeline of Events

1948: Adoption of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR)
The UDHR, adopted by the UN, established freedom of expression as a fundamental human right (Article 19). However, it also recognized the need to limit speech that incites violence or discrimination.

1965: International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (ICERD)
This UN treaty required signatory states to criminalize hate speech and racist propaganda.

1994: Rwandan Genocide and Radio RTLM's Role
During the Rwandan Genocide, the "Radio Télévision Libre des Mille Collines (RTLM)" broadcast hate speech that encouraged mass killings of the Tutsi population. This event highlighted the dangers of unchecked hate speech in fueling violence.

2017: Germany Enacts the "NetzDG" Law
The "Network Enforcement Act" (NetzDG) required social media platforms to remove hate speech and illegal content within 24 hours or face heavy fines. It became one of the strictest online hate speech laws in the world.

2019: UN launches the "Strategy and Plan of Action on Hate Speech"
The UN introduced a global framework to combat hate speech while upholding freedom of expression, emphasizing education, counter-speech, and platform accountability.

2022: Elon Musk's Twitter acquisition and content moderation debate
After buying Twitter (now X), Musk reduced content moderation, arguing for greater free speech. This led to concerns about the rise of hate speech on the platform, with organizations reporting increased racist and violent posts.

2024: EU Implements the "Digital Services Act" (DSA)
The "DSA" enforces stricter regulations on digital platforms, requiring them to remove illegal hate speech and misinformation. Companies like Meta, TikTok, and X now face penalties for failing to comply.

Previous attempts to solve the issue

International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (ICERD) (1965):
Solution: Required signatory states to criminalize hate speech and racial discrimination.
Problems: The U.S. refused to implement speech restrictions, citing First Amendment protections. Many countries enforced the treaty differently, leading to inconsistent application.

Germany's NetzDG Law (2017):
Solution: Required social media companies to remove hate speech within 24 hours or face heavy fines.
Problems: Critics argued it led to "over-censorship", as companies deleted borderline content to avoid penalties.

India's Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines) Rules (2021):
Solution: India introduced guidelines to regulate online content, requiring social media platforms to take down harmful content like hate speech within a specific timeframe.
Problems: Critics argue that the rules give too much power to the government and large tech companies, leading to concerns about overreach and suppression of free speech. There

have been cases where content was removed that was not necessarily hate speech but rather politically sensitive content.

Elon Musk's Free Speech Approach on X (2022):
Solution: Loosened content moderation on X (formerly Twitter) to promote free expression.
Problems: Hate speech surged, with organizations reporting spikes in racist, antisemitic, and extremist content. Advertisers withdrew, and some countries threatened legal action.

Possible Solutions

1.Clear International Standards on Hate Speech
Establishing a universally accepted definition of hate speech would help create consistent guidelines for countries to apply laws fairly. The challange is to find a definition that all member states can agree on, despite their different views and assesments.

2. Increased Accountability for Social Media Platforms
Strengthening laws to require social media platforms to act more consistent against hate speech and using new possibilities such as AI and human moderators, could make the internet safer for users. However, this solution risks over-censorship, as platforms may remove content that does not necessarily constitute hate speech to avoid legal consequences, potentially limiting freedom of expression.

3. Education and Counter-Speech Campaigns
Promoting public education and counter-speech initiatives could help reduce prejudice and encourage tolerance by addressing the root causes of hate speech. Though it fosters understanding, such programs may be less effective in regions where hate speech is deeply ingrained in societal or political structures.

Bibliography

https://www.hrw.org

https://www.bbc.com

https://www.reuters.com/site-search/?query=France+hate+speech

https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/ckg0pz7361zo

https://www.ceps.eu/ceps-projects/the-impact-of-the-german-netzdg-law/

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Network_Enforcement_Act#:~:text=The%20Act%20obliges%20social%20media,fine%20of%2050%20million%20Euros.

https://www.euronews.com/next/2022/10/28/twitter-m-a-musk

https://www.meity.gov.in/writereaddata/files/Information%20Technology%20%28Intermediary%20Guidelines%20and%20Digital%20Media%20Ethics%20Code%29%20Rules%2C%202021%20%28updated%2006.04.2023%29-.pdf

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Information_Technology_Rules,_2021

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Freedom_of_speech_in_the_United_States

https://constitution.congress.gov/browse/amendment-1/#:~:text=First%20Amendment%20Fundamental%20Freedoms,for%20a%20redress%20of%20grievances.

https://maint.loc.gov/law/help/freedom-expression/china.php

https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights

https://www.institut-fuer-menschenrechte.de/menschenrechtsschutz/deutschland-im-menschenrechtsschutzsystem/vereinte-nationen/vereinte-nationen-menschenrechtsabkommen/konvention-gegen-rassismus-icerd

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1998.tb02762.x

https://languages.oup.com/

https://chatgpt.com